

**Ser.no. 10/724,146**  
**Amendment dated April 19, 2005**  
**In Reply to Office Action dated January 31, 2005**

**REMARKS / ARGUMENTS**

In the specification, the typographical error in paragraph 0013 has been corrected.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13 and 14 remain in this application. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15 and 16 have been cancelled. Claim 1 has been amended.

The claim amendments correspond to the Examiner's indication that claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten. The logic of the claims, after amending claim 1, is such that claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13 and 14 correspond in terms of subject matter to former claims 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15 and 16.

It is therefore respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance, which is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:



R. Craig Armstrong  
Registration No. 30,910  
Attorney for Applicant

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP  
100 Queen Street  
Ottawa, Ontario  
Canada K1P 1J9

Telephone: 519-741-9100  
Fax: 519-741-9149